



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,718	10/26/2001	David Ternes	279.405US1	2631	
21186	7590 09/30/2004		EXAMINER		
. SCHWEGM.	AN, LUNDBERG, WOE	OROPEZA, FRANCES P			
P.O. BOX 293	=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				TATER NOMBER	
			3762		
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Amplication	- N-	Applicant(a)				
Office Action Summary		Application .		Applicant(s)	/			
		10/003,71	8	TERNÉS ET AL.				
		Examiner	•	Art Unit				
		Frances P		3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper population of the provision	136(a). In no eve bly within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) day: I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/15	5/04 (Election	<u>າ)</u> .					
-	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	·—							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 15-33 and 35 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 15-18,20,21,25-28,30,31 and 35 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 19,22-24,29,32 and 33 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examinative drawing(s) filed on 26 October 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinative drawing sheet and the correct that are specifically shaded as a specific drawing sheet and the correct drawing sheet are specifically shaded as a specific drawing sheet and the correct drawing sheet are specifically shaded as a specific drawing sheet	e: a) acce drawing(s) b ction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date 11/1/02 & 4/15/04.	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 25-28, 30, 31 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu et al. (US 5458623) in view of Sun et al. (US 5755739).

Lu et al. disclose ca capture threshold determination system comprising a pacemaker (22), external programmer (10) and cable with surface electrode (18) (abstract; col. 1 @ 9-14; col. 3 @ 1-20 and 26-29; col. 23 @ 46 – col. 4 @ 29;

Application/Control Number: 10/003,718

Art Unit: 3762

col. 4 @ 45 - col. 5 @ 6; col. 5 @ 14-18). Lu et al. disclose the claimed invention except for two pacing channels (claims 15 and 26) and the comparison using time-domain correlation.

As to two pacing channels, Sun et al. teach waveform discrimination using two pacing channels for the purpose of effectively directing stimulation signals to the cardiac tissue. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used two pacing channels in the Lu et al. system in order to provide stimulation flexibility enabling enhanced detection of auto-capture (abstract; figure 1; col. 1 @ 27-57; col. 8 @ 10-52)

As to time-domain correlation, Sun et al. teaches signal analysis using time-domain correlation for the purpose of enhancing the classification of rhythms. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used time-domain correlations in the Sun et al. system in order to effectively evaluate the signal morphology so the point of loss of capture is clearly defined (col. 5 @ 6-19).

3. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu et al. (US 5458623) in view of Sun et al. (US 5755739) and further in view of Callaghan et al. (US 4895152). As discussed in paragraph 2 of this action, modified Lu et al. disclose the claimed invention except for an evoked response sensing channel.

Callaghan et al. teach cardiac pacing using an evoked response sensing channel (54) for the purpose of enabling evaluation of the cardiac stimulation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used an

Art Unit: 3762

evoked response sensing channel in the modified Lu et al. system in order to have a proven means that clearly determines the effectiveness of the cardiac stimulation (figure 5; col. 5 @ 3-20).

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 19, 22-24, 29, 32 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frances P. Oropeza whose telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes, can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The telephone number for facsimiles for regular communication and After Final communications is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762 9/24/04 9/0

> ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel D Syl